

EXHIBIT 1

From: Gonzalez, Arturo J. <AGonzalez@mofo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2017 7:00 PM
To: QE-Waymo
Cc: UberWaymoMoFoAttorneys; BSF_EXTERNAL_UberWaymoLit; Chatterjee, Neel (NChatterjee@goodwinlaw.com)
Subject: Trial Stipulations

Quinn Team:

We would like to discuss trial stipulations that would eliminate the need for certain witnesses. For example, there is an issue that you have raised in an in limine motion regarding Uber's search for downloaded materials. Obviously, if your motion is granted, the issue with respect to those facts may be moot. However, if your motion is denied, we believe we can stipulate to many of those facts. For example, on "x" date, 2 Waymo lawyers inspected Uber's facility located at [address]. And we can stipulate regarding the search terms that were used to look for "downloaded documents," and the inspections of Uber's devices. Please let us know if you would be willing to consider such stipulations. If so, we will put some in writing and send them your way.

If you have thoughts regarding trial stipulations, let us know.

Arturo J. González

Chair, Commercial Litigation and Trial Practice Group
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market St. | San Francisco, CA 94105
P: 415.268.7020 | F: 415.276.7020 | C: 415.425.9548
AGonzalez@mofo.com | www.mofo.com

=====

This message may be confidential and privileged. Use or disclosure by anyone other than an intended addressee is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please delete it and advise the sender by reply email.